

PAPER #:

DUE DATE:

REVIEWER: A/B

TITLE:

Confidential Comments to Editor (please type below)

[Note: The author(s) will not receive these comments. The Editor will use your assessment in the publication decision-making process. Thank you for helping us provide constructive feedback to the author(s).]

1. What is your overall recommendation (accept, revise, reject, recommend to another journal) and why?

2. Other comments or observations?

PAPER #:

DUE DATE:

REVIEWER: A/B

TITLE:

Please rate the article on the following dimensions (using the scale 0=Not applicable, 1=Not at all, 3 to 5 = Somewhat, 6 to 7 Completely) and provide constructive comments.

1. _____ Suitability of article for journal – does the paper clearly relate to AIS education?

Comments:

2. _____ To what extent did you understand what authors were trying to accomplish?

Comments:

3. _____ To what extent did you gain new insights (learn new things) from reading the paper?

Comments:

4. _____ How interesting or challenging would AIS educators find the ideas in the paper?

Comments:

5. _____ If an empirical or research paper, is the methodology:

_____ appropriate?

_____ used correctly?

Comments:

6. _____ Is the paper competently written in academic terms? (Concepts defined? Statistics presented correctly? Etc.)

Comments:

7. _____ Is the paper stylistically appropriate for an AIS education journal? (Clear, concise, and absent of clichés)

Comments:

8. _____ Are the title and abstract appropriate for the paper? Are references sufficiently complete? (please indicate significant omissions)

Comments:

Overall Recommendation

_____ Accept

_____ Revise

_____ Reject

_____ Recommend for submission to a more appropriate journal?
(please specify)

If you recommend Revise and Resubmit, please specify types of revision (select all that apply):

_____ Respond to concerns identified

_____ Collect/present additional data or evidence

_____ Redo (or conduct additional) analysis

_____ Revise selected sections of the paper

_____ Rewrite entire paper

General comments to author(s).

[Note: Please include substantive and constructive comments on how the author(s) can improve the paper.]

1. What are the strengths of the paper?

2. What are the weaknesses of the paper?

3. Other comments/specific items for the author(s) to address.