

## The Role and Validity of Surgical Simulation

Riaz A. Agha<sup>1</sup>, Alexander J. Fowler<sup>2</sup>

In the last three decades, simulation has become a key tool in the training of doctors and the maintenance of patient safety. Simulation offers an immersive, realistic way of learning technical skills. Recent changes to the training schemes in many surgical specialities mean that the hours spent working between senior house officer and consultant have been reduced. This, combined with other pressures (such as reduced operating hours), means that surgery has moved away from its traditional apprenticeship model and toward a competency-based one. Simulation can be a standardized and safe method for training and assessing surgeons. Use of simulation for training has become significant alongside the development of laparoscopic techniques, and evidence suggests that skills obtained in simulation are applicable in real clinical scenarios. Simulation allows trainees to make mistakes, to ask the "what if?" questions, and to learn and reflect on such situations without risking patient safety. Virtual reality simulators have been used to allow experts to plan complicated operations and assess perioperative risks. Most recently, fully immersive simulations, such as those with whole theater teams involved, and patient-centered simulations allow development of other key skills aside from purely technical ones. Use of simulation in isolation from traditional teaching methods will furnish the surgeon in training with skills, but the best time and place to use such skills comes only with experience. In this article we examine the role of simulation in surgical training and its impact in the context of reduced training time.

Key words: Simulation – Surgical education – Surgical training – Laparoscopic surgery

Simulation is defined as "a technique to replace or amplify real experiences with guided experiences, often immersive in nature, that evoke or replicate substantial aspects of the real world in a fully interactive manner." The past three decades

have seen a rising interest in the use of simulation for the purposes of training doctors, quality of care, and patient safety. Simulation was first described when leaf and clay models were used to simulate the very first recorded operation, a forehead flap

Reprint requests: Alexander Fowler, Garrod Building, Turner Street, Whitechapel, London E1 2AD, United Kingdom. E-mail: ha09410@qmul.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Plastic Surgery, Pinderfields Hospital, Wakefield, United Kingdom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, United Kingdom

nasal reconstruction in ancient India in 600 BC.<sup>2</sup> In modern times, early experience in simulation came from the Resusci Annie, a training mannequin for teaching basic life support that was introduced in 1960.<sup>3</sup>

Today there are laparoscopic surgery simulators with haptic or tactile feedback, wet-lab courses involving live animals in laparoscopic or microsurgery, and virtual reality computer programs addressing a widening range of surgical and interventional procedures. 4-9 Even virtual hospitals have been created with simulation-based learning in mind, such as the Medical Simulation Centre at Loma Linda University in California. 10,11 Much of the technologic development in simulation has come from computer gaming, and practical usage has been exploited greatly in aviation through flight simulators, which train pilots to fly. 12

## The Rising Importance of Simulation

The past century has seen a growth in our understanding of the structure and function of the human body in health and disease. This has been coupled with advances in diagnostics and imaging as well as treatments to cure and manage disease. Such a rapidly growing knowledge base has necessitated the embrace of knowledge management, new technologies, and new pedagogic approaches.<sup>13</sup> This trend has been coupled with a desire to reduce the number of hours worked by surgeons both in Europe and the United States.<sup>14</sup>

In the United Kingdom, following Calmanization (the combination of many registrar grades into one grade—the Specialist Registrar), modernizing medical careers, and the European Working Time Directive, a trainee's hours have theoretically reduced from approximately 30,000 to 6000 working hours between becoming a Senior House Officer and becoming a Consultant. For a craft specialty like surgery—that is, one highly reliant on developing fine motor skills, such as dexterity and coordination—this reduction in "hands-on time" could have significant ramifications for training. 19

Such changes have heralded a transition in surgical training from an apprenticeship model, where the learner imitates the actions of a skilled mentor and which relies on subjective evaluations, to a more objective and competency-based attitude that requires the trainee to be more "hands-on" in his or her own approach to training. Other drivers for change include the rise of evidence-based medicine, medical error statistics, rising

student numbers, bed occupancy and theater time pressures, decreasing patient availability, changing patient attitudes, rising patient and public expectations, and wider societal changes.<sup>22–24</sup>

## Lessons From Laparoscopic Surgery

The first reported laparoscopic cholecystectomy was done by Philippe Mouret in France in 1987.<sup>25</sup> Within 5 years it was established as a feasible alternative to the open approach.<sup>26</sup> However, doubts were soon raised about its safety and the credentials of those performing the procedure.<sup>27</sup> Professional societies began to emphasize training both inside and outside the operating theater and to stipulate minimum requirements for those performing laparoscopic surgery. 28,29 Skills courses were introduced to teach basic psychomotor skills and to get people accustomed to the fulcrum effect, viewing two-dimensional images on a screen 2 meters away with limited tactile feedback. Gradually, laparoscopic cholecystectomy became safer and a viable alternative to the open technique. 30 Today, laparoscopic surgery is considered "safe" and is more widely used than the open technique.<sup>31</sup> The debate has now moved on to the number of ports one should use.<sup>32</sup>

# Advantages of Simulation and Integration With Learning Theories

Simulators can provide a safe and standardized method for training in surgery without the risks that come with operating on real patients. Such experiences can be realistic, highly engaging, and immersive, such that users forget they are in a simulation.<sup>33</sup> Here, the acquisition of competency in procedural skills occurs hand in hand with team building and communication skills within an educationally focused environment.<sup>33,34</sup>

Learners can refresh themselves and gain confidence regarding infrequent or rare circumstances, intimate examinations, and risky procedures like arterial cannulation; iteratively practice protocols and drills; enhance the automaticity of emergency procedures; and ultimately develop professional and clinical competencies. The recent landing of a plane in the Hudson River in New York serves as a powerful example of the benefit gained from simulation. It was made easier by the fact that US Airways pilots do frequent drills of water landings in a flight simulator. The rare circumstances and gain confidences and gain confidences are circumstances.

Dynamic variation during emergency scenarios can be used to test the integration of knowledge (*e.g.*, sudden loss of airway scenarios in a polytrauma patient in the Acute Trauma Life Support course moulage).<sup>36</sup> From an ethical point of view, Ziv *et al*<sup>37</sup> have argued that doctors have a moral imperative to ensure patients get the highest quality of care and should not be treated by those who have not shown that they can perform the task safely and reliably. Such thinking will inevitably lead to its increased use in training and examination.

## What about surgical simulators?

Simulation also helps to enhance psychomotor skills, hand-eye coordination, and ambidextrous surgery, especially important for endoscopic surgery.<sup>38</sup> Seymour et al<sup>38</sup> assessed the skills acquisition of 16 trainees randomized to either traditional training or a laparoscopic simulator. To assess performance, participants then performed a cholecystectomy in an operating theater and the procedures were videotaped. The simulator group dissected the gallbladder 29% faster, were five times less likely to make errors, and were nine times more likely to make progress.<sup>38</sup> A separate but similar study by Grantcharov et al<sup>39</sup> supported these findings. The laparoscopic-trained group performed significantly faster than controls and had lower error scores. Such simulators incorporate haptic or tactile feedback. Work by a number of researchers has shown that the addition of haptic feedback in early training may enhance the trainee's sensory perception and facilitate the transfer of skills from the simulator to the operating room. 40,41 A recent systematic review of simulation for laparoscopic surgery included 219 studies, and the authors concluded that: "Simulation-based laparoscopic training of health professionals have large benefits when compared with no intervention and is moderately more effective than non-simulation instruction."43

Skills can also be built sequentially with a planned, gradual increase in complexity at a pace that respects individual trainees within a cohort. Such repetition and exercises would not be possible with a real patient. This allows for intensive learning on procedures like venipuncture, central line insertion, and bowel anastomosis. <sup>22,44</sup> Learners can be immersed in a safe environment with "permission to fail" and the opportunity to develop rich, meaningful debriefings with facilitators and coparticipants. <sup>23</sup>

From personal experience, simulation allows trainees to ask the sorts of "what if?" questions they were previously too afraid to ask or that would cause embarrassment in front of patients or colleagues. Also, these learning experiences are usually free of bleeps, phone calls, and various other forms of interruptions that are commonplace in clinical environments. Hence, the learning environment is less stressful than traditional live environments, and stress is recognized as a barrier to learning. 45

Trainees can also learn not just immediately from mistakes, but can potentially see their natural conclusion, a totally unethical position if a real patient were involved.<sup>46</sup> These educational facets are particularly useful in a craft specialty like surgery, where the limits of each technique can be explored and challenging scenarios re-created to test adaptive responses, rather than having to remain confined to the "zone of clinical safety."<sup>22</sup> Indeed, simulation recognizes that errors are an integral part of human behavior, performance, and development: "The real problem isn't how to stop bad doctors from harming, even killing their patients. It's how to prevent good doctors from doing so."47 Such experiential learning is a key part of adult learning.48

Practical skills teaching also introduces students to the concept of "showing how" and "doing" things as opposed to just rote learning information, which leads to superficial or "surface" learning—as occurs during "cramming" for exams.<sup>49</sup> This allows for a substantial part of the learning curve to be overcome during simulation, increasing safety for real patients and developing more confident surgeons with greater situational awareness.<sup>50–52</sup>

## Simulation—not just for trainees

Simulation is not just for trainees, but also for experts learning new techniques. Cadaveric simulations were especially useful in the recent face transplantations.<sup>53</sup> Virtual reality simulation is now providing three-dimensional space and time parameters, thus improving preoperative planning. Chen *et al*<sup>54</sup> showed how virtual reality allowed the construction of accurate three-dimensional models of the liver, individual hepatic volume, and the detailed character of anatomic structures (including vasculature around tumors), and these helped articulate the possibility of intricate liver resection and the operative risks.

Simulation—not just for technical skills acquisition

Surgical training, however, requires the development of far more than technical or procedural skills alone. Gawande *et al*<sup>55</sup> found that 43% of surgical errors involved failures in communication among personnel. Nontechnical skills, like teamwork and communication, are vital areas for consolidation and development throughout surgical training, and this is increasingly being recognized. This necessitates a contextualized approach and an emphasis on simulations rather than simulators.<sup>49</sup>

Recent innovations, like the inflatable operating theater, are allowing entire teams to simulate difficult scenarios at low cost and at relatively high fidelity with authentic equipment (*e.g.*, operating lights, monitors, anaesthetic equipment, etc.).<sup>56</sup>

Such immersive environments will help to develop difficult scenario pattern recognition. In addition, they can build rapport and the interpersonal/interprofessional understanding that is vital for surgical teams to function cohesively and "gel together" in a highly dynamic environment. 5,57,58

#### Patient-Focused Simulation

Work by Kneebone *et al*<sup>33</sup> on patient-focused simulation training is a powerful example of the benefits of "simulated patients," where participants engage with a real human being while performing a procedure. This forces technical tasks (previously confined to part-task trainers in social isolation) into a clinical context where effective communication, empathy, insight, clinical judgment, decision making, recognition of limitations, professionalism, coping with stress, and the ability to recognize and respond to each individual can be practiced and assessed in addition to technical skills.<sup>5,20,33,43,59–63</sup> It also helps to encourage "buy-in" to the simulation and suspends disbelief.<sup>54</sup>

Initial work with urinary catheterization and simple suturing under local anaesthetic for medical students underscored such benefits but also showed it was feasible in terms of time, facilities, and resources.<sup>62</sup> These principles can also be extended to more complex surgery—for example, virtual reality simulators for endoscopy.<sup>62</sup> Here, an audio link allows the patient to respond authentically if excessive force or insufflation of air is applied. The trainee thus has rapid and seemingly authentic responses to his or her actions. The trainee can also learn and practice how to respond if an abnormality

appears both in terms of the pathology and in terms of the communication with the simulated patient. <sup>60</sup>

Kneebone et al<sup>61</sup> have extended their work to laparoscopic surgery. The "LapMentor" laparoscopic cholecystectomy simulator is equipped with haptic or tactile feedback and allows trainees to practice the operation through a range of anatomic variants and get force feedback with greater realism of handling tissues. Authenticity is enhanced with the head and feet of a resuscitation model, artificial skin, surgical drapes, and other team members (surgeon's assistant, anesthetist, and runner nurse, as in Fig. 1). This helps the surgeon learn how to interact with the assistant who controls the laparoscopic camera—a key skill.<sup>58,59</sup> The surgeon must write the operation notes afterward and visit the "patient" in recovery. Webcams allow for recording and analysis of the events post hoc. This format has been replicated for carotid endarterectomy. Such contextualized environments promote deeper, more robust learning than part-task trainers. 64-67

#### Translation to the Clinical Environment

However, the traditional concern with simulation has been that it is decontextualized and people behave differently in the "real thing." Roger Kneebone's work has done much to bring context to simulation, but this concern may still remain. <sup>40</sup> So, is simulation-based training transferable to the clinical setting, where it can have a positive impact on actual patient outcomes? There is a growing body of evidence to suggest it can.

Griswold *et al*<sup>68</sup> recently summarized evidence in this area. They found that there was good evidence of skills transfer in pediatric and neonatal emergency situations, tracheal intubation, and central venous line insertions (with decreases in both procedural complications and infections).

The randomized controlled trial by Zendeas *et al*<sup>69</sup> involving laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair showed that simulation decreased procedural time (by 6.5 minutes on the first attempt after randomization) and intraoperative and postoperative complications (5% versus 35% and 3% versus 30%, respectively).<sup>69</sup> More recently, Stefanidis *et al*<sup>70</sup> conducted a single-blind randomized controlled trial to see whether laparoscopic suturing skills developed on a simulator could be transferred and retained in an operating theater. Their results were promising, with 71% of novice participants being trained to proficiency on the simulator being able to retain their skills when transferred to the operating



**Fig. 1** Surgeons work through a simulated scenario in the inflatable operating theater. Taken from the BBC, available at: http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/health-11452711.

room.<sup>70</sup> There is, however, much research to do in this area, especially outside of laparoscopic skills.

## Disadvantages of Simulation

One could level the criticism that laparoscopic trainers are too abstract, and the tasks too simple and not related to real procedures (although they are improving). By focusing on technical tasks, surgeons can lose the bigger picture, becoming fixated on events in the operating field, to the ignorance of wider concerns and the need for continuity preoperatively, intraoperatively, and postoperatively.

Recent personal experience with a laparoscopic simulator is that sudden, rapid degradations in authenticity can occur when mistakes are made. For instance, bleeding can sometimes manifest itself not just visually on the screen but with rapid and violent shaking of the trocars. Chamarra *et al*<sup>71</sup> have shown that training on virtual simulators without haptics can lead to distortion of the pulling and pushing forces one applies, which are often in excess of what the tissue requires. Virtual reality simulators with haptics and simulated patients are a good form of simulation training. 41,72,73 However, these facilities are not widespread and a typical course would have box trainers and a sugar cubestacking exercise.

Thus, uptake and accessibility are key concerns. Simulation facilities vary widely between different hospitals, resulting in a "postcode lottery" for trainees.<sup>74</sup> Further concerns have been raised about whether trainees are informed of the facilities available to them and whether they get the appropriate support and tuition in their use from suitably engaged faculty.<sup>74</sup> For some specialties,

having the right simulation facilities will be challenging (e.g., cadavers for plastic surgery).

Surgical practice is a team effort involving a number of different specialties and professions. Much work still remains to be done on transferring team skills from simulation to the operating room.<sup>5,72,75–78</sup> Clinical practice is complex, contains uncertainty, and can be emotionally challenging; not all of it can be practiced beforehand.

Cost and space limitations may mean the predominance of a "one size fits all" philosophy—likely to fail some learners who may see it as a "boxticking exercise." The technology is advancing so rapidly there may be concern about "white elephants" among budget holders. Finally, an extensive historical review of the use of simulation stated that "the quantity and quality of research in this area of medical education is limited."

#### Conclusion

Research is increasingly showing that simulation improves learning and has the potential to meet the needs of trainees and satisfy the regulatory needs of the profession and society. Simulators are becoming more common, more diverse, more authentic, and increasingly incorporated into education programs and professional practice. The judgment of "what the right thing to do is" cannot always be easily taught in the classroom or on a simulation course. At the heart of surgical practice is complex tacit decision making, not just a series of steps. Simulation should be part of the learning experience but cannot replace the requisite clinical hard "graft" and experience a trainee surgeon needs on the "shop floor" supported by good trainers and mentors.

#### References

- 1. Gaba DM. The future vision of simulation in health care. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2004;**13**(suppl 1):i2–i10
- Limberg AA. The Planning of Local Plastic Operations on the Body Surface: Theory and Practice. Lexington, MA: DC Health and Company; 1984
- Cooper JB, Taqueti VR. A brief history of the development of mannequin simulators for clinical education and training. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2004;13(suppl 1):i11–i18
- van der Meijden OA, Schijven MP. The value of haptic feedback in conventional and robot-assisted minimal invasive surgery and virtual reality training: a current review. Surg Endosc 2009;23(6):1180–1190
- Aggarwal R, Hance J, Darzi A. Surgical education and training in the new millennium. Surg Endosc 2004;18(10):1409–1410
- Moorthy K, Munz Y, Jiwanji M, Bann S, Chang A, Darzi A. Validity and reliability of a virtual reality upper gastrointestinal simulator and cross validation using structured assessment of individual performance with video playback. Surg Endosc 2004;18(2):328–333
- Torkington J, Smith SG, Rees BI, Darzi A. The role of simulation in surgical training. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2000; 82(2):88–94
- 8. Torkington J, Smith SG, Rees BI, Darzi A. Skill transfer from virtual reality to a real laparoscopic task. *Surg Endosc* 2001; **15**(10):1076–1079
- Dosis A, Aggarwal R, Bello F. Synchronized video and motion analysis for the assessment of procedures in the operating theatre. Arch Surg. 2005;140(3):293–299
- 10. Lapco. Wetlab courses, 2011. Available at: http://www.lapco.nhs.uk/wetlab-courses.php. Accessed December 30, 2011
- 11. LomaLinda360. The Medical Simulation Center–Loma Linda University 2010. Available at: http://www.youtube.com/ watch?v=ZXY\_VtEhTNI. Accessed December 30, 2011
- 12. Enochsson L, Isaksson B, Tour R. Visuospatial skills and computer game experience influence the performance of virtual endoscopy. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2004;8(7):876–882
- Issenberg SB, Gordon MS, Gordon DL, Safford RE, Hart IR. Simulation and new learning technologies. *Med Teach* 2001; 23(1):16–23
- 14. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. Duty Hours Subcommittee Report. Available at: https://www.acgme. org/acgmeweb/Portals/0/PDFs/nascalettercommunity5\_4\_10. pdf. Accessed December 4, 2011
- 15. Chikwe J, de Souza AC, Pepper JR. No time to train the surgeons. *BMJ* 2004;**328**(7437):418–419
- Phillip H, Fleet Z, Bowman K. The European Working Time Directive—Interim Report and Guidance From The Royal College of Surgeons of England Working Party. London, England: Royal College of Surgeons; 2003

- 17. Donaldson L. *Unfinished Business: Proposals for Reform of the Senior House Officer Grade.* NHS consultation paper. London, England: UK Department of Health; August 2002
- 18. MacQuillan AHF, Wilson-Jones N, Grobbelaar AO. The M.D.–medical doctorate or mandatory doctorate? *Br J Plast Surg* 2003;**56**(8):759–763
- 19. The Royal College of Surgeons of England. Working time directive, 2009. Available at: http://www.rcseng.ac.uk/surgeons/surgical-standards/docs/WTD%202009%20 Meeting%20the%20challenge%20in%20surgery.pdf. Accessed December 30, 2011
- 20. Parson BA, Blencowe NS, Hollowood AD, Grant JR. Surgical training: the impact of changes in curriculum and experience. *J Surg Educ* 2011;**68**(1):44–51
- 21. Walter AJ. Surgical education for the twenty-first century: beyond the apprentice model. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 2006;**33**(2):233–236
- 22. Kneebone R. Simulation in surgical training: educational issues and practical implications. *Med Educ* 2003;**37**(3):267–277
- 23. Bradley P, Postlethwaite K. Simulation in clinical learning. *Med Educ* 2003;**37**(suppl 1):1–5
- 24. Committee on Quality of Healthcare in America, Institute of Medicine, Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, eds. *To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2000
- 25. Lityaski GS. Profiles in laparoscopy: Mouret, Dubois and Perissat: the laparoscopic breakthrough in Europe (1987–1988). *JSLS* 1999;**3**(2):163–167
- Cuschieri A, Dubois F, Mouiel J. The European experience with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Am J Surg 199;161(3):385– 387
- 27. Berci G. Editorial comment. Am J Surg 1990;160:396-398
- Jakimowicz JJ. The European Association for Endoscopic surgery recommendations for training in laparoscopic surgery. Ann Chir Gynaecol 1994;83(2):137–141
- Peters J, Fried GM, Swanstrom LL. Development and validation of a comprehensive program of education and assessment of the basic fundamentals of laparoscopic surgery. Surgery 2004;135(1):21–27
- The Southern Surgeons Club. A prospective analysis of 1518 laparoscopic cholecystectomies. N Engl J Med 1991;324(16): 1073–1078
- 31. Tucker JJ, Yanagawa F, Grim R, Bell T, Ahuja V. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is safe but underused in the elderly. *Am Surg* 2011;77(8):1014–1020
- 32. Jacob D, Raakow R. Single-port versus multi-port cholecystectomy for patients with acute cholecystitis: a retrospective comparative analysis. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2011;**10**(5): 521–525
- 33. Kneebone RL, Kidd J, Nestel D. Blurring the boundaries: scenario-based simulation in a clinical setting. *Med Educ* 2005; **39**(6):580–587

- 34. Champion HR, Gallagher AG. Surgical simulation—a 'good idea whose time has come'. *Br J Surg* 2003;**90**(7):767–768
- Wald ML. Plane crew is credited for nimble reaction. New York Times. January 15, 2009:A25
- American College of Surgeons. Advanced trauma life support,
   2011. Available at: http://www.facs.org/trauma/atls/index.
   html. Accessed January 5, 2012
- 37. Ziv A, Erez D, Munz Y, Vardi A. The Israel Centre for Medical Simulation: a paradigm for cultural change in medical education. *Acad Med* 2006;81(12):1091–1097
- 38. Seymour NE, Gallagher AG, Roman SA. Virtual reality training improves operating room performance. *Ann Surg* 2002;**236**(4):458–464
- Grantcharov TP, Kristiansen VB, Bendix J, Bardram L, Rosenberg J, Funch-Jensen P. Randomized clinical trial of virtual reality simulation for laparoscopic skills training. Br J Surg 2004;91(2):146–150
- Strőm P, Hedman L, Särnå L, Kjellin A, Wredmark T, Felländer-Tsai L. Early exposure to haptic feedback enhances performance in surgical simulator training: a prospective randomized crossover study in surgical residents. Surg Endosc 2006;20(9):1383–1388
- 41. Lamata P, Gómez EJ, Sánchez-Margallo FM, Lamata F, del Pozo F, Usón J. Tissue consistency perception in laparoscopy to define the level of fidelity in virtual reality simulation. *Surg Endosc* 2006;**20**(9):1368–1375
- 43. Zendejas B, Brydges R, Hamstra SJ, Cook DA. State of the evidence on simulation-based training for laparoscopic surgery: a systematic review. *Ann Surg* 2013;**257**(4):586–593
- 44. Bradley P. The history of simulation in medical education and possible future directions. *Med Educ* 2006;**40**(3):254–262
- 45. Brigden D, Dangerfield P. The role of simulation in medical education. *Clin Teach* 2008;**5**:167–170
- 46. Kneebone RL, Scott W, Darzi A, Horrocks M. Simulation and clinical practice: strengthening the relationship. *Med Educ* 2004;**38**(10):1095–1102
- 47. Gawande A. When doctors make mistakes. *The New Yorker*. February 1, 1999:40
- 48. Kolb DA. Experiential Learning Experience as a Source of Learning and Development. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1984
- 49. Wood C. Distributed simulation. Lecture at Oxford University on November 24, 2011
- 50. Fager PJ, von Wowern P. The use of haptics in medical applications. *Int J Med Robot* 2004;**1**(1):36–42
- 51. Schijven MP, Jakimowicz JJ, Broeders IA, Tseng LN. The Eindhoven laparoscopic cholecystectomy training course improving operating room performance using virtual reality training: results from the first E.A.E.S. accredited virtual reality trainings curriculum. Surg Endosc 2005;19(9):1220–1226
- 52. Aggarwal R, Grantcharov TP, Eriksen JR. An evidence-based virtual reality training program for novice laparoscopic surgeons. *Ann Surg* 2006;244(2):310–314

- 53. Gordon CR, Zor F, Siemionow M. Skin area quantification in preparation for concomitant upper extremity and face transplantation: a cadaver study and literature review. *Transplantation* 2011;**91**(9):1050–1056
- 54. Chen G, Li XC, Wu GQ. The use of virtual reality for the functional simulation of hepatic tumors (case control study). *Int J Surg* 2010;8(1):72–78
- 55. Gawande AA, Zinner MJ, Studdert DM, Brennan TA. Analysis of errors reported by surgeons at three teaching hospitals. Surgery 2003;133(6):614–621
- 56. BBC (2011). Health Check: Blow-up 'igloo' trains doctors.

  Available at: http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/health-11452711.

  Accessed December 30, 2011
- 57. Kneebone R, Arora S, King D. Distributed simulation–accessible immersive training. *Med Teach* 2010;**32**(1):65–70
- Black SA, Nestel DF, Horrocks EJ. Evaluation of a framework for case development and simulated patient training for complex procedures. Simul Healthc 2006;1(2):66–71
- Kneebone R, Nestel D, Wetzel C. The human face of simulation: patient-focused simulation training. *Acad Med* 2006;81(10):919–924
- 60. Nestel D, Kneebone R. Please don't touch me there: the ethics of intimate examinations: integrated approach to teaching and learning clinical skills. *BMJ* 2003;**326**(7402):1327
- 61. Kneebone R, Kidd J, Nestel D, Asvall S, Paraskeva P, Darzi A. An innovative model for teaching and learning clinical procedures. *Med Educ* 2003;**36**(7):628–634
- 62. Kneebone RL, Nestel D, Moorthy K. Learning the skills of flexible sigmoidoscopy–the wider perspective. *Med Educ* 2003; **37**(suppl 1):50–58
- 63. Kneebone R. Evaluating clinical simulations for learning procedural skills: a theory-based approach. *Acad Med* 2005; **80**(6):549–553
- 64. Wood D. *How Children Think and Learn*. 2nd ed. Oxford, England: Blackwell; 1998
- Bruner J. The narrative construction of reality. Crit Inq 1991; 18(1):1–21
- 66. Mills GE. Action Research: A Guide for the Teacher Researcher. Columbus, OH: Merrill Prentice Hall; 2000
- Baum KD, Axtell S. Tends in North American education. Keio J Med 2005;54(1):22–28
- 68. Griswold S, Ponnuru S, Nishisaki A. The emerging role of simulation education to achieve patient safety: translating deliberate practice and debriefing to save lives. *Pediatr Clin* North Am 2012;59(6):1329–1340
- Zendejas B, Cook DA, Bingener J, Huebner M, Dunn WF, Sarr MG et al. Simulation-based mastery learning improves patient outcomes in laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair: a randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2011;254(3):502–509
- 70. Stefanidis D, Yonce TC, Korndorffer JR Jr, Phillips R, Coker A. Does the incorporation of motion metrics into the existing FLS metrics lead to improved skill acquisition on simulators?: a

- single blinded, randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2013; 258(1):46-52
- 71. Chamarra MK, Dankelman J, van den Dobbelsteen JJ, Jansen FW. Force feedback and basic laparoscopic skills. *Surg Endosc* 2008;**22**(10):2140–2148
- 72. Tholey G, Desai JP, Castellanos AE. Force feedback plays a significant role in minimally invasive surgery: results and analysis. *Ann Surg* 2005;**241**(1):102–109
- Cosman PH, Cregan PC, Martin CJ, Cartmill JA. Virtual reality simulators: current status in acquisition and assessment of surgical skills. ANZ J Surg 2002;72(1):30–34
- 74. Milburn JA, Khera G, Hornby ST, Malone PS, Fitzgerald JE. Introduction, availability and role of simulation in surgical education and training: review of current evidence and recommendations from the Association of Surgeons in Training. *Int J Surg* 2012;10(8):393–398

- 75. Aggarwal R, Undre S, Moorthy K, Vincent C, Darzi A. The simulated operating theatre: comprehensive training for surgical teams. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2004;13(suppl 1):i27–i32
- 76. Moorthy K, Munz Y, Adams S, Pandey V, Darzi A. A human factors analysis of technical and team skills among surgical trainees during procedural simulations in a simulated operating theatre. *Ann Surg* 2005;**242**(5):631–639
- 77. Aggarwal R, Moorthy K, Darzi A. Laparoscopic skills training and assessment. *Br J Surg* 2004;**91**(12):1549–1558
- 78. Vincent C, Moorthy K, Sarker SK, Chang A, Darzi AW. Systems approaches to surgical quality and safety: from concept to measurement. *Ann Surg* 2004;239(4):475–482
- 79. Felder RM, Brent R. Understanding student differences. *J Eng Educ* 2005;**94**(1):57–72